IPAM OPWS2: Numerical Methods for Continuous Optimization

First-order Methods for Convex Optimization with Inexact Oracle



Olivier Devolder, François Glineur and Yurii Nesterov: UCLouvain, CORE & INMA

Why an inexact oracle for first-order methods ?

In smooth convex optimization:

- First-order methods = Methods of choice for large-scale problems due to their cheap iteration cost
- Sometime impossible/costly to compute *exact* first-order information (function and gradient values) at each iteration

Possible causes: numerical errors (see E1.) ; need to solve another (simpler) optimization problem, which can only be done approximately (see E2.) ; non smoothness! (see E3., E4.)

Examples of (ϵ, L) -oracles

E1. Exact computation at shifted points

Assumptions: *f* is convex with a Lipschitz-continuous gradient (constant \overline{L}) **Oracle:** At each point $\overline{x} \in Q$, the oracle provides exact value of *f* and ∇f but computed at a different point \overline{x}_{ϵ} . $\Rightarrow (\epsilon, L)$ -oracle with $\epsilon = \overline{L} ||\overline{x} - \overline{x}_{\epsilon}||_2^2$ and $L = 2\overline{L}$.

E2. Smooth saddle point problem

Assumptions:

 $f(r) = \max \Psi(r \eta)$

• **Our goal**: Study the effect of inexact first-order information on two usual first-order methods:

Classical Gradient Method (CGM) and Fast Gradient Method (FGM)

• **Important issue**: link between desired objective function accuracy and accuracy needed for oracle first-order information ?

A definition of inexact oracle.

Consider the convex optimization problem:

 $f^* = \min_{x \in Q} f(x)$

where f is convex and Q is a closed convex set $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$. **Definition**: f is equipped with a **first-order** (ϵ, L) -**oracle** $(f_{y,\epsilon}, g_{y,\epsilon}) = \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon,L}[f](y)$ \Leftrightarrow for any $y \in Q$, we can compute $(f_{y,\epsilon}, g_{y,\epsilon})$ satisfying: $f_{y,\epsilon} + \langle g_{y,\epsilon}, x - y \rangle \leq f(x) \leq f_{y,\epsilon} + \langle g_{y,\epsilon}, x - y \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x - y||^2 + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in Q.$ **Properties**: $f_{y,\epsilon}$ is ϵ -accurate, $g_{y,\epsilon}$ is an ϵ -subgradient + upper bound

$$J(x) = \max_{u \in U} \Psi(x, u)$$

where

- *U* is a closed, convex set
- $\Psi(x, u) = G(u) + \langle Au, x \rangle$
- G(u) is a differentiable, strongly concave function with parameter $\kappa > 0$

Denoting $u_x = \arg \min_{u \in U} \Psi(x, u)$, we have:

 $f(x) = \Psi(x, u_x), \quad \nabla f(x) = A u_x.$

Oracle: At each point $x \in Q$, the oracle provides

 $f_{x,\epsilon} = \Psi(x, \overline{u}_x), \quad g_{x,\epsilon} = A\overline{u}_x$

where \bar{u}_x is an approximate solution of $\max_{u \in U} \Psi(x, u)$. $\Rightarrow (\epsilon, L)$ -oracle with $\epsilon = 2(\Psi(x, u_x) - \Psi(x, \overline{u}_x))$ and $L = \frac{2\|A\|}{\kappa}$.

E3. Non-smooth convex function

Assumptions: *f* is convex, subdifferentiable with bounded variation of the subgradients:

First-order methods with a (ϵ, L) -oracle

Let $\overline{\epsilon}$ = desired accuracy for the solution (SA), let ϵ = accuracy of the oracle (OA) and define $R = ||x^0 - x^*||$:

1. Classical Gradient Method

$$f(x^k) - f^* \le \frac{C_1 L R^2}{k} + \epsilon$$

- No accumulation of errors
 - Error asymptotically tends to ϵ (OA)
- OA=SA : $\epsilon = \Theta(\overline{\epsilon})$
- Complexity: $O\left(\frac{LR^2}{\overline{\epsilon}}\right)$ (not optimal)
- 2. Fast Gradient Method

$$f(x^k) - f^* \le \frac{C_2 L R^2}{k^2} + C_3 k \epsilon$$

- Accumulation of errors
 - Error asymptotically tends to ∞

 $\|g(x) - g(y)\|_* \le M \quad \forall g(x) \in \partial f(x), g(y) \in \partial f(y), \quad \forall x, y \in Q$

Oracle: At each point \overline{x} , the oracle provides $f(\overline{x})$ and $g(\overline{x}) \in \partial f(x)$. $\Rightarrow (\epsilon, L)$ -oracle with arbitrary ϵ and $L = \frac{M^2}{2\epsilon}$ (i.e. a whole family of oracles with arbitrary value of ϵ)

Consequence:

Application of CGM or FGM to *f* with right choice of ϵ solves nonsmooth problem with an optimal rate of convergence $\Theta\left(\frac{LR}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$.

E4. Smooth convex function with Hôlder continuous gradientAssumptions: *f* is convex, differentiable with Hôlder continuous gradient:

$$\left\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\right\|_{*} \le L_{\nu} \left\|x - y\right\|^{\nu} \quad \forall x, y \in Q$$

for a given $0 \le \nu < 1$. **Oracle**: At each point \overline{x} , oracle provides $f(\overline{x})$ and $\nabla f(\overline{x})$ $\Rightarrow (\epsilon, L)$ -oracle with arbitrary ϵ and

$$L = L_{\nu}^{\frac{2}{1+\nu}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\nu)^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}}} - \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}}} \right) \frac{1}{\frac{1-\nu}{2^{\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}}}}.$$

(decreases at first, then increases linearly) • OA must be smaller than SA: $\epsilon = \Theta(\overline{\epsilon}^{3/2})$ • Optimal complexity: $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{L}{\overline{\epsilon}}}R\right)$.

Both methods are optimal (in a different way)

1. CGM is the fastest first-order method without error accumulation

2. Any first-order method with convergence rate $\frac{1}{k^2}$ must suffer from error accumulation, and FGM has the lowest possible error accumulation for such a method: $\Theta(k\epsilon)$.

$\left((1+\nu)^{\overline{1+\nu}} \quad 2^{\overline{1+\nu}} \right) \epsilon^{\overline{1+\nu}}$

Consequence:

Application of FGM to *f* with right choice of ϵ solves 'weakly' smooth problem with an optimal rate of convergence : $\Theta\left(\frac{L_{\nu}R^{1+\nu}}{k^{\frac{1+3\nu}{2}}}\right)$.

WE OBTAIN UNIVERSAL OPTIMAL METHOD BOTH FOR SMOOTH, WEAKLY SMOOTH AND NON-SMOOTH CONVEX PROBLEMS.

Acknowledgements

First author is an F.R.S-FNRS research fellow. Poster presents research results of the Belgian Network DYSCO. Scientific responsibility rests with its authors.