

We are interested in sequences satisfying (8), as they are the only candidates for positive solution. Therefore, the sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  is *bounded*. Using the lower bound of (8) and the lemma, with  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N$  as the finite sequence  $u$ , the first integral is

*larger* than  $S_N = c^t \sum_{n=1}^N n \delta_n^2$ . The second integral is a quadratic form in

$\delta_{N-m+2}, \dots, \delta_{N+m-1}$ , with coefficients bounded by  $c^t N$ , and is therefore also bounded

by  $c^t \sum_{n=1}^{N+m-1} n \delta_n^2 = c^t (S_{N+m-1} - S_{N-m+1})$ . If  $a'$  and  $a''$  are both positive solutions of

(6),  $S_N \leq c^t (S_{N+m-1} - S_{N-m+1})$ , or  $S_{N+m-1}/S_{N-m+1} > a \text{ constant } > 1$ : a subsequence of

$\{S_n\}$  would increase exponentially, which is impossible (the  $\delta_n$ 's being bounded,  $S_N$

is bounded by  $N^2$ ), establishing unicity. With  $a'$  the positive solution of (6), and

$a''$  the expected asymptotic estimate  $\{[n/C(2m)]^{1/2m}\}$ , we recall that

$F_n(a'') - F_n(a') = O(1)$ , so that the left-hand side of (9) is bounded by

$c^t \sqrt{\log N} \sqrt{S_N}$  (Schwarz inequality), and one has

$S_N \leq c^t \sqrt{\log N} \sqrt{S_N} + c^t (S_{N+m-1} - S_{N-m+1})$ . In order to avoid exponential increase of

a subsequence of  $\{S_n\}$ , one must have  $S_N \leq c^t \log N$ , implying

$$\delta_N \leq c^t (\log N/N)^{1/2} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ or } a'_N/a''_N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 1 : \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The form of the Newton's algorithm that takes full advantage of the theorem is :

- 1) solve  $J(a^*) \delta^* = F(a^*) - F(a)$  through the Cholesky factorisation of  $J(a^*)$
- 2) the new estimate of  $a_n$  is  $a_n^* \exp[-\delta_n^*]$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$ . One remarks that any positive estimate of the solution produces an new estimate that is still positive.

Proof of the lemma. Symmetry of  $J(a)$  :  $\frac{\partial A^{2m-1}}{\partial a_k} = \sum_{i=0}^{2m-2} A^i \frac{\partial A}{\partial a_k} A^{2m-2-i}$ ,

$$a_k \frac{\partial F_n(a)}{\partial a_k} = 2m a_k a_n \sum_{i=0}^{2m-2} (A^i)_{n,k+1} (A^{2m-2-i})_{k,n+1} + (A^i)_{n,k} (A^{2m-2-i})_{k+1,n+1}$$

$n \neq k$ , using (6), the only two nonzero elements of  $\partial A/\partial a_k$ , and the symmetry of  $A$

itself, establishes the symmetry. Positive definiteness : one uses directly (7)

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_n u_k a_k^2 \frac{\partial F_n(a)}{\partial a_k^2} = 4m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k a_k^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k^2} (a_n^2 a_{n+i_1}^2 \dots a_{n+i_{m-1}}^2)$$

which means that one keeps a product  $a_n^2 \dots a_{n+i_{m-1}}^2$  times  $u_k$  whenever  $k$  is one of the numbers  $n, n+i_1, \dots, n+i_{m-1}$ , subject to the conditions in (7). Some product  $a_{j_1}^2 \dots a_{j_m}^2$ ,