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## Context

- Human capital an engine of modern economic growth
- What about pre-modern academia?
- RETE: new data on European Academia 1000-1800
$\rightarrow$ Was pre-modern academia complementary with economic growth?


## Contribution

- New way to measure and classify knowledge in the past
- Scholarship associated with higher GDP per capita in 1900
- Academics in general positive, but science and botany particularly
- Find evidence that suggests a role model mechanism


## Literature

Growth, knowledge, and human capital

- Big picture (Cervellati and Sunde 2005; Mokyr, 2002; Mokyr, 2016; Galor 2022; Strulik 2014)
- By field: Science (Wooton, 2015), Institutions (Mitterauer, 2010), Theology (Henrich, 2020; Weber, 1930), Law (Cantoni et al., 2014), Medicine (Hill, 1915).
Measuring knowledge
- Texts (Almelhem et al. 2023; Grajzl et al, 2023; Johnson et al., 2023; Li et al. 2023)
- Biographies: Courson et al. (2023)


## RETE

Large sample of scholars working in academia in premodern Europe

- European scope (from Evora to )
- Longue durée (1000-1800)
- Teachers, not students
- 60,001 scholars
- Appointed to universities or nominated to academies
- 535 secondary sources
- Manually linked to WorldCat


## Repertorium Eruditorum Totius Europae

## WorldCat Identities



- Discontinued in March 2023
- Provided data on productivity of scholar


## WorldCat Identities

Associated Subjects
Aristotle astrology Astronomess Astronomical geographly Astronomy Atomic theory Atomic theory--Historiography Atomism brahe, rycho, Contingency
 Gassendi, Pierre, God-will--history of doctrines mismians mumess nntuence (Literay, aristic, etc.) Locke, John, Logic Meditationes de prima philosophia (Descartes, René) Mind and body Necessity (Philosophy)
optics Peiresc, Nicolas claude Fabri de, Pensees (Pascal, Blaise) Peurbach, Georg von, Philosophers Philosophy Philosophy, Ancient phibsophy, Ancient intineature
Philosophy, French Philosophy, Modern ${ }_{\text {numpmem mame }}$ Philosophy of mind Philosophy of nature
Physics-Philosophy Providence and government of God--History of doctrines regiomontanus, Joannes, Science Science--Philosophy soul staicsmen write, Thoms,

- Cloud of topics (mapping titles of works into FAST (Faceted Application of Subject Terminology) topics)
- Relative size, relative importance
- Exact methodology unclear
- 17,343 academics have topics


## k-means clustering

Group scholars into academic fields (clusters) using their topics

- 1,360-dimension space, one axis per topic
- Each scholar is a point in the space
- Pick k centroids and assign each scholar to a centroid
- Compute the TWSS: total within-cluster sum of squares
- No closed-form solution, use numerical algorithm
- Optimal k: minimize $B I C=T W S S_{k}+\log (I) J k$


## Clusters of WorldCat Topics

| Cluster <br> Field | N. Scholars | Top 3 Names | Median <br> N. Publ. | Earliest <br> Year | Median <br> Year |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Theology 1 | 1581 | Aquinas, Bossuet, Pascal | 143 | 975 | 1615 |
| Theology 2 | 940 | Luther, Melanchthon, Wesley | 315 | 1039 | 1671 |
| Politics | 990 | Swift, Machiavelli, Corneille | 184 | 1043 | 1756 |
| Law | 727 | Stryk, Bentham, Bohmer | 156 | 1090 | 1593 |
| Science | 661 | Newton, Euler, Galilei | 177 | 1116 | 1714 |
| Classics | 7317 | Schiller, Erasmus, Pope | 54 | 970 | 1712 |
| Philosophy | 653 | Rousseau, Kant, Diderot | 258 | 980 | 1700 |
| Botany | 543 | Linnaeus, Bernardin, Trew | 189 | 1176 | 1753 |
| Culture | 1086 | Arouet, Humboldt, Homman | 211 | 1140 | 1749 |
| Medicine | 1651 | Haller, Hohenheim, Gessner | 125 | 1025 | 1698 |



## Measurement

How do we aggregate scholarly output?

- Aggregate by birth NUTS2 region
- Number of publications (includes new editions and translations)
- pubs ${ }^{1 / 4}$ : Luther would be worth 18 obscure Theologians (Results are robust to weighting)
Measuring regional development in 1900: GDP per capita from Rosés-Wolf database on regional GDP (2023)
- Methodology based on Geary and Stark (2002)
- Combine national GDP and value added by sector with regional wages by sector
- Key assumption is that wages are good proxy for productivity


## Measuring regional development in 1800

Proxy with urbanization

- Urban populations from Buringh (2021)
- Area of NUTS2 region
- Ruggedness from Nunn and Puga (2012)


## Regression

We estimate the following regression model:

$$
y_{r, s}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \log \left(n_{r, s}\right)+\sum_{c=1}^{10} \beta_{c} \operatorname{share}_{r, s}^{c}+\beta X_{r, s}+\phi_{s}+\epsilon_{r, s}
$$

- $y_{r, s}$ is the GDP per capita in 1800
- $n_{r, s}$ is the weighted sum of output of scholars born in $r$
- share $r_{r, s}^{c}$ is the share of $n_{r, s}$ that belong to field $c$
- $\phi_{s}$ is a country fixed effect
- $X_{r, s}$ are controls: log total urban population in 1800, log ruggedness, log area.

|  | log GDP per capita, 1900 |  |  |  | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| $\log \left(n_{r, s}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 * * * \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10^{* * *} \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 * * * \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 * * * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 * * * \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| Share Theology 1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.08 \\ (0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.08 \\ (0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.13 \\ & (0.10) \end{aligned}$ |
| Share Theology 2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85^{*} \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.10 \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.29 \\ (0.21) \end{gathered}$ |
| Share Politics |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.05 \\ & (0.15) \end{aligned}$ |
| Share Law |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.78^{* *} \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.31^{*} \\ & (0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.16) \end{gathered}$ |
| Share Science |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.07 * * * \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81^{* *} \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.11 \\ & (0.18) \end{aligned}$ |
| Share Philosophy |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.05 \\ & (0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.07 \\ & (0.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.13 \\ & (0.13) \end{aligned}$ |
| Share Botany |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.78^{* * *} \\ (0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56^{* *} \\ & (0.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ |
| Share Culture |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.16 \\ & (0.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.07 \\ & (0.14) \end{aligned}$ |
| Share Medicine |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.15 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.56 \\ (0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.03 \\ (0.24) \end{gathered}$ |
| N | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 | 221 |
| Country FE |  | X |  | X | X |

Every column controls for log urban pop in 1800, log area, and log ruggedness.

## Interpreting results

- Baseline: Weighted output of scholars $+10 \%$ associated $w .+1.4 \%$ GDP pc
- Adding control and shares reduces magnitude, but always significant
- Interpretation: all scholarship good for growth
- Law and Theology 2 correlated with national factors (legal origins, Weber hypothesis)
- Science and Botany particularly important for regional development
- $+10 p p$ compared to Classics:
- Science associated w. $+8.1 \%$ GDP pc
- Botany associated w. +5.6\% GDP pc

Science and law across place


## Exploiting mobility to build an instrumental strategy?



## Byzantine Greek refugees as an instrument for total scholarly output

|  | $\log$ GDP per capita, 1900 |  | $\log \left(n_{r, s}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OLS | IV | 1st-stage |
| $\log \left(n_{r, s}\right)$ | $0.11^{* * *}$ | $0.18^{* * *}$ |  |
|  | $(0.03)$ | $(0.05)$ |  |
| $1+$ Byzantine scholars |  |  | $1.68^{* * *}$ |
|  |  |  | $(0.36)$ |
| N | 172 | 172 | 172 |
| Country FE | X | X | X |
| IV F-stat. |  | 29.52 |  |

Note: ${ }^{* * *} p<0.01 ;{ }^{* *} p<0.05 ;{ }^{*} p<0.1$. Robust standard errors in parentheses. The unit of observation is a NUTS2 region.

## Suggested mechanism: Important scholars inspire locals to accumulate human capital

Find groups less likely to inspire:

- Death place was in a foreign country
- Death place of different denomination (post-1555)
- Death place different than birth place
- Died before age 40


## Regression

We estimate the following regression model:

$$
y_{r, s}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \log \left(n_{r, s, i}\right)+\log \left(n_{r, s,!i}\right)+\phi_{s}+\beta X_{r, s}+\varepsilon_{r, s}
$$

- $y_{r, s}$ is the GDP per capita in 1800
- $n_{r, s, i}$ is the weighted sum of output of scholars in the group $i$
- $n_{r, s,!i}$ is the weighted sum of output of scholars not in $i$
- $\phi_{s}$ is a country fixed effect
- $X_{r, s}$ are controls: log total urban population in 1800, log ruggedness, log area.

| log GDP per capita, 1900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| $\log \left(n_{r, s, i}\right)$, all scholars | $\begin{gathered} 0.10^{* *} * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\log \left(n_{r, s, i}\right)$, |  |  |  |  |  |
| died in other country |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| died in same country |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 * * * \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| émigré |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.01 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| not émigré |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.11^{* *} \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| died in other place |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| died in same place |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05{ }^{* *} \\ & (0.02) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| died before age 40 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.00 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| died after age 40 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10^{* *} \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 |
| Country FE | X | X | X | X | X |
| Every column controls for log urban pop in 1800, log area, and log ruggedness. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example: Fermat

Born in Beamont-de-Lomagne in 1605 Member of the informal academy of Mersenne in Paris (1636)

- Working life spent in Toulouse at the Parliament
- Beamont-de-Lomagne's pop. in 2020: 3,778
- It has a statue of him, street named after him, a tourism office in his house, and the yearly fête des maths


## Inspiration mechanism: model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(C) \exp (-\rho t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& Y=A K^{\alpha} H^{1-\alpha} \\
& Y=C+I_{K}+I_{H} \\
& \dot{K}=I_{K}-\delta_{K} K \\
& \dot{H}=I_{H}-\bar{\delta}_{H} H \\
& \bar{\delta}_{H}=\delta_{H}\left(\frac{S}{H}\right)^{-\eta} \\
& \dot{S}=I_{H}-\delta_{S} S
\end{aligned}
$$

## Utility

Production
Resource constraint
Capital accumulation

## Human capital accumulation

Depreciation of human capital
Inspiration
Short-run:

$$
Y=A K^{\alpha}\left(K g\left[\frac{S}{H}\right]\right)^{1-\alpha}=A K\left(g\left[\frac{S}{H}\right]\right)^{1-\alpha}
$$

Along a balanced growth path:

$$
\frac{S}{H}=\left(\frac{\delta_{H}}{\delta_{S}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\eta}}
$$

## Conclusion

- New data on premodern academics grouped into academic fields
- Premodern European scholarship associated economic development
- All scholarship positive, but science and botany more important
- Evidence for an inspiration mechanism

