

Proof of the non-commutative Nevo–Zimmer theorem, Part I

1 A recap

Throughout these notes we work with a connected simple Lie group G with trivial center and $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \geq 2$. We fix throughout a maximal compact subgroup K of G and a maximal cocompact amenable subgroup $P \subseteq G$ such that

$$G = KP .$$

For any parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq Q \subseteq G$ we let $\nu_Q \in \text{Prob}(G/Q)$ denote the unique K -invariant Borel probability measure on G/Q . For any lcsc group H we let m_H denote the Haar measure, and recall that $\nu_Q \circ \pi^{-1} \sim m_G$ where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/Q$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(G)$ be a probability measure that is equivalent to the Haar measure and K -invariant. Let \mathcal{A} be any separable G - C^* -algebra and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ any μ -stationary state, i.e. $\mu * \phi = \phi$.*

Then there exists an essentially unique G -equivariant measurable map $\beta_\phi : G/P \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) : w \mapsto \phi_w$ that satisfies

$$\phi = \int_{G/P} \phi_w \, d\nu_P(w) .$$

Remark 1.2. This result is proved by Boutonnet and Houdayer for general locally compact second countable Hausdorff (lcsc) groups H , where $(G/P, \nu_P)$ is replaced by the (H, μ) -Poisson Boundary. Furstenberg proved (in 1963) that in this setup the (G, μ) -Poisson Boundary is $(G/P, \nu_P)$.

Definition 1.3. Let H be a lcsc group and $\mu \in \text{Prob}(H)$ be equivalent to the Haar measure. Let \mathcal{M} be any H -von Neumann algebra and $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ any normal state. We say (\mathcal{M}, φ) is an ergodic (H, μ) -von Neumann algebra if

- the action $\sigma : H \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ is ergodic (i.e. $\mathcal{M}^H = \mathbb{C}I$), and
- φ is μ -stationary, i.e. $\mu * \phi = \phi$.

Lemma 1.4. *If (\mathcal{M}, φ) is an ergodic (H, μ) -von Neumann algebra then φ is automatically faithful.*

Proof. Let q denote the support projection of φ , then

$$1 = \varphi(q) = (\mu * \varphi)(q) = \int_H \varphi(\sigma_h^{-1}(q)) \, d\mu(h) ,$$

but $0 \leq \varphi(\sigma_h^{-1}(q)) \leq 1$ for all $h \in H$, and hence we must have $\varphi(\sigma_h^{-1}(q)) = 1$ μ -a.e. Since the function $h \rightarrow \varphi(\sigma_h^{-1}(q))$ is continuous and μ has full support (by equivalence with the Haar measure), we get $\varphi(\sigma_h^{-1}(q)) = 1$ for all $h \in H$, so $q \in \mathcal{M}^H = \mathbb{C}I$. Since q is a projection, $q = 1$ and hence φ is faithful. \square

2 Setup for Theorem (E)

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem E). *Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(G)$ be any K -invariant Borel probability measure which is equivalent to the Haar measure. Let (\mathcal{M}, φ) be any ergodic (G, μ) -von Neumann algebra. Then the following dichotomy holds*

- Either φ is G -invariant,
- Or there exists a proper parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq Q \subseteq G$ and a G -equivariant normal $*$ -embedding $\Theta : L^\infty(G/Q, \nu_Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\varphi \circ \Theta = \nu_Q$.

Standing assumption: We assume throughout the talks that φ is not G -invariant.

Lemma 2.2. *For the proof we may assume that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ where H is a separable Hilbert space such that there exists $\xi \in H$ with $\varphi(x) = \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Since φ is not G -invariant there exist a $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $G \ni g \rightarrow \varphi(\sigma_g^{-1}(x))$ not constant. A connected Lie group is second countable, so we can find a dense set $S \subseteq G$, and then the countable set

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}+i\mathbb{Q}}\{a_1 \cdots a_n \mid a_i \in Sx \cup Sx^*, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a weakly dense subset of the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}_{sep} generated by Gx . It follows that the GNS representation (H, ρ, ξ) of the state $\varphi|_{\mathcal{M}_{sep}}$ satisfies that H is separable. Since $\varphi|_{\mathcal{M}_{sep}}$ is normal and faithful $\rho : \mathcal{M}_{sep} \rightarrow \rho(\mathcal{M}_{sep})$ is a vNA isomorphism, and by choice then $(\rho(\mathcal{M}_{sep}), \langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle)$ is still an ergodic (G, μ) -von Neumann algebra with $\langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle$ not G -invariant. If the Theorem is true for $\rho(\mathcal{M}_{sep})$, the map $\rho^{-1} \circ \Theta$ will give the desired embedding. \square

Lemma 2.3. *We can pick a globally G -invariant σ -weakly dense separable unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ is norm-continuous.*

Proof. The set $\{x \in \mathcal{M} \mid g \mapsto \sigma_g(x) \text{ is norm continuous}\}$ contains for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f \in C_c(G)$ the element $\int_G f(g)\sigma_g(x)dm_g(g)$, and a well chosen net of such f supported around $e \in G$ would converge σ -weakly to x , proving that the set is σ -weakly dense in \mathcal{M} . Since \mathcal{M} has separable predual (H is separable) we can find a countable dense set \mathcal{C} in this set. Since G is second countable $\mathcal{A} := C^*(\bigcup_g \sigma_g(\mathcal{C}))$ is separable. \square

For simplicity we **assume throughout that $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$** , and we define subgroups of G by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}, \bar{P} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *The map $\bar{V} \times P \rightarrow G : (\bar{v}, p) \mapsto \bar{v}p$ is a homeomorphism onto an open m_G -conull subset of G , under which $m_G|_{\bar{V}P}$ is equivalent to $m_{\bar{V}} \times m_P$.*

Proof. If $\bar{v}_1 p_1 = \bar{v}_2 p_2$ then $\bar{v}_2^{-1} \bar{v}_1 = p_2 p_1^{-1} \in \bar{V} \cap P = \{Id\}$, proving that the map is injective. By considering the multiplication of matrices in \bar{V} and P it is clear this map is a homeomorphism onto its image. If $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^3 \in G$ has $a_{1,1} = 0$ it does not lie in $\bar{V}P$. If $a_{1,1} \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-a_{1,2}}{a_{1,1}} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{-a_{1,3}}{a_{1,1}} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & * & * \\ 0 & a_{2,2} - a_{2,1} \frac{a_{1,2}}{a_{1,1}} & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} := B$$

and this can not lie in $\bar{V}P$ if $a_{2,2}a_{1,1} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} = 0$. If $a_{2,2}a_{1,1} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} \neq 0$ there is similarly a $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$ such that $\bar{v}B \in P$. Hence the $A \in G \setminus \bar{V}P$ are exactly the ones satisfying $a_{1,1} = 0$ and $a_{2,2}a_{1,1} - a_{2,1}a_{1,2} = 0$ which is a closed set of measure 0. The last statement follows by noticing that for every $K \subseteq P$, $U \mapsto m_G(UK)$ defines a left invariant measure on \bar{V} , so $m_G(UK) = c_K m_{\bar{V}}(U)$, where $K \mapsto c_K$ will give a right invariant measure on P . \square

We now use Lemma 1.1 on the separable C*-algebra \mathcal{A} and the μ -stationary state $\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}}$, to get a G -equivariant measurable map $\beta : G/P \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) : w \mapsto \phi_w$ as in that Lemma. Set $\psi = \phi_{eP}$, by G -equivariance ψ is a P -invariant state on \mathcal{A} s.t.

$$\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{G/P} \psi \circ \sigma_g^{-1} d\nu_P(gP).$$

Let $(\pi_\psi, H_\psi, \xi_\psi)$ be the GNS triple associated with (\mathcal{A}, ψ) , set $\mathcal{N} = \pi_\psi(\mathcal{A})''$, and denote by ψ the normal state $\langle \cdot, \xi_\psi \rangle$ on \mathcal{N} .

Since ψ is P -invariant, standard GNS-representation arguments gives a continuous action $\sigma^{\mathcal{N}} : P \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}$. **We assume throughout for simplicity that ψ is a faithful state on \mathcal{N} .**

2.1 Step 1: G -equivariantly embed \mathcal{M} into $\text{Ind}_P^G(\mathcal{N})$.

By Lemma 2.4 the restriction of the quotient map $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/P$ gives a injection $\bar{V} \rightarrow G/P$, and since $\nu_P \sim m_G \circ \pi^{-1}$ and $\pi^{-1}(\bar{V}/P) = \bar{V}P$ its image is ν_P -conull. Since

$$m_{\bar{V}}(U) = 0 \iff m_G(UP) = 0 \iff m_G(\pi^{-1}(\pi(U))) = 0 \iff \nu_P(\pi(U)) = 0$$

we see that $\nu_{\bar{V}} := \nu_P \circ \pi \sim m_{\bar{V}}$. Now let $\tau : G/P \rightarrow G$ denote a section satisfying $\tau(\bar{v}P) = \bar{v}$ for $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$, which is then measurable up to a null set.

Recall from seminar 6 that we can identify the system $G \curvearrowright \text{Ind}_P^G(\mathcal{N})$ with the action $\tilde{\sigma} : G \curvearrowright L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ given by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_g(F)(w) = \sigma_{c_\tau(g, g^{-1}w)}^{\mathcal{N}}(F(g^{-1}w)) \text{ for } F \in L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}, g \in G \text{ and } w \in G/P.$$

Using our measure space isomorphism we get a von Neumann algebra isomorphism

$$B : L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\infty(\bar{V}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N} : B(f)(\bar{v}) = f(\bar{v}P).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} c_\tau(p, p^{-1}\bar{v}P) &= \tau(\bar{v}P)^{-1}p\tau(p^{-1}\bar{v}P) = \begin{cases} \bar{v}^{-1}pp^{-1}\bar{v} & \text{if } p \in \bar{V} \\ \tau(\bar{v}P)^{-1}p\tau(p^{-1}\bar{v}P) & \text{if } p \in S \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} e & \text{if } p \in \bar{V} \\ p & \text{if } p \in S, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we can then transport the G -action on $L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ to an action on $L^\infty(\bar{V}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, and get a very concrete formula for the action of $\bar{P} = S\bar{V}$:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\tilde{\sigma}_p(f))(\bar{v}) &= \sigma_{c_\tau(p, p^{-1}\bar{v}P)}^{\mathcal{N}}(f(p^{-1}\bar{v}P)) = \begin{cases} f(p^{-1}\bar{v}P) & \text{if } p \in \bar{V} \\ \sigma_p^{\mathcal{N}}(f(p^{-1}\bar{v}P)) & \text{if } p \in S \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} B(f)(p^{-1}\bar{v}) & \text{if } p \in \bar{V} \\ \sigma_p^{\mathcal{N}}(B(f)(p^{-1}\bar{v}P)) & \text{if } p \in S \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 3.1). *The map $\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ given by $\iota(a)(w) = \pi_\psi(\sigma_{\tau(w)}^{-1}(a))$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in G/P$ extends to a well defined G -embedding¹ $\iota : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L^\infty(G/P) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ such that $(\nu_p \otimes \psi) \circ \iota = \varphi$.*

¹This is Sam's shorthand terminology for a G -equivariant unital normal injective *-homomorphism

Proof. Since $\psi \in \mathcal{N}_*$ is P -invariant we get for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ that

$$(\nu_P \otimes \psi)(\iota(a)) = \int_{G/P} \psi(\sigma_{\tau(w)}^{-1}(a)) d\nu_P(w) = \int_{G/P} \psi(\sigma_g^{-1}(a)) d\nu_P(gP) = \varphi(a).$$

A straightforward computation which can be found in the notes reveals $\iota(\sigma_g(a)) = \tilde{\sigma}_g(\iota(a))$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, hence by continuity it suffices to prove that ι extends to a normal unital $*$ -embedding. Since $1_{G/P}$ implements ν_P on $L^2(G/P, \nu_P)$ and ξ_ψ implements ψ on H_ψ , then $1_{G/P} \otimes \xi_\psi$ implements $\nu_P \otimes \psi$ on $H' = L^2(G/P, \nu_P) \otimes H_\psi$. Let p be the projection onto $\overline{\iota(\mathcal{A})1_{G/P} \otimes \xi_\psi}$, then $(\iota, PH', 1_{G/P} \otimes \xi_\psi)$ is a GNS-representation for $\varphi|_{\mathcal{A}}$. By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and uniqueness of the GNS triple this implies that $\mathcal{A} \ni a \rightarrow \iota(a)p \in B(H')$ is unitarily equivalent to the inclusion map $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, and hence we get a normal unital $*$ -isomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \iota(\mathcal{A})''p$. If $f \in L^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{N})$ satisfies $f\iota(a)1_{G/P} \otimes \xi_\psi = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$f(w)\pi_\psi(\sigma_{\tau(w)}^{-1}(a))\xi_\psi = 0$$

for almost all $w \in G/P$. By continuity and separability of \mathcal{A} , we get $f(w)\pi_\psi(\sigma_{\tau(w)}^{-1}(a))\xi_\psi = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and a.e. $w \in G/P$. Since ξ_ψ is cyclic this proves that the map $\iota(\mathcal{A})'' \rightarrow \iota(\mathcal{A})''p : f \mapsto fp$ is a normal unital $*$ -isomorphism. \square

2.2 Step 2: Claim 3.2

Recall that

$$\phi|_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{G/P} \psi \circ \sigma_g^{-1} d\nu_P(gP).$$

with ψ P -invariant. Since ϕ is not G -invariant, and \mathcal{A} is dense in \mathcal{M} , this implies that ψ is not G -invariant. Since G can not contain a dense open subgroup, then $G = \langle \bar{V}, P \rangle$, and it follows that ψ can not be \bar{V} -invariant. Write

$$E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, E_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, E_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\bar{V} = \langle E_{21}, E_{31}, E_{32} \rangle$, and hence we can assume WLOG that ψ is not E_{32} -invariant. Set

$$V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{V}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{U}_0 = E_{32}, s = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the map $\bar{V}_0 \times \bar{U}_0 \rightarrow \bar{V} : (v, u) \mapsto vu$ is a homeomorphism. Now

$$s \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a/8 & b/8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a/8 & 1 & 0 \\ b/8 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and s commutes with \bar{U}_0 . The isomorphism $L^2(\bar{V}_0) \otimes L^2(\bar{U}_0) \simeq L^2(\bar{V}_0 \times \bar{U}_0) \simeq L^2(\bar{V})$ implements an isomorphism $L^\infty(\bar{V}) = L^\infty(\bar{V}_0) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(\bar{U}_0)$.

Lemma 2.6 (Claim 3.2). *Let $a \in \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $a_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sigma_s^k(a) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

- $\exists!$ ψ -preserving faithful conditional expectation $E_s : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^s$.

□ For every $(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) \in \bar{V}$, $\iota(a_n)(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) \rightarrow E_s(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0))$ strongly in \mathcal{N} .

△ Defining the bounded measurable $f : \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^s$ by $f((\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0)) = E_s(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0))$, then $f \in \iota(\mathcal{M})$. Thus there exists a unique $a_\infty \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\iota(a_\infty) = f$ and $a_n \rightarrow a_\infty$ strongly in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. ○: Since $\sigma : P \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}$ is ψ -preserving, we get that $\psi = \psi \circ \sigma_s$. If α is the modular automorphism group for ψ , this implies ψ satisfies the KMS condition for $\sigma_s^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \sigma_s$, so by faithfulness of ψ , $\sigma_s^{-1} \circ \alpha \circ \sigma_s = \alpha$. Hence α leaves \mathcal{N}^s invariant, and the existence of E_s follows from Tomita-Takesaki Theory. It can be checked that $E_s(a) = PaP$ where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\{\xi \in H_\psi \mid U\xi = \xi\}$ where $\sigma_s = \text{Ad}U$.

□: Fix $(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) \in \bar{V}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ is norm-continuous and $s^{-k}\bar{v}_0^{-1}s^k \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a k_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\iota(\sigma_s^k(a))(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) - \iota(\sigma_s^k(a))(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)\| &= \|\pi_\psi(\sigma_{\bar{u}_0}^{-1}(\sigma_{\bar{v}_0}^{-1}(\sigma_s^k(a)))) - \pi_\psi(\sigma_{\bar{u}_0}^{-1}(\sigma_s^k(a)))\| \\ &\leq \|\sigma_{s^{-k}\bar{v}_0^{-1}s^k}(a) - a\| \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude

$$\|\iota(a_n)(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) - \iota(a_n)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n (\iota(\sigma_s^k(a))(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) - \iota(\sigma_s^k(a))(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since s commutes with \bar{u}_0 we get

$$\sigma_s^k(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)) = \sigma_s^k(\pi_\psi(\sigma_{\bar{u}_0}^{-1}(a))) = \pi_\psi(\sigma_{\bar{u}_0}^{-1}(\sigma_s^k(a))) = \iota(\sigma_s^k(a))(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0).$$

Let U be the unitary implementing σ_s , i.e. $UaU^* = \sigma_s(a)$, then $U\xi_\psi = \xi_\psi$ since the action $\sigma : s^{\mathbb{Z}} \curvearrowright \mathcal{N}$ is ψ -preserving. Hence von Neumann's ergodic theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sigma_s^k(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)) - E_s(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0)) \right\|_\psi \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n U^k \iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0) \xi_\psi - P \iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0) \xi_\psi \right\|_\psi^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.2) we obtain that

$$\lim_n \|\iota(a_n)(\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) - E_s(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0))\|_\psi = 0,$$

and since ψ is a faithful state, we get strong convergence.

△: Set $f : \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^s : (\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0) \mapsto E_s(\iota(a)(\bar{e}, \bar{u}_0))$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence we get

$$\|\iota(a_n) - f\|_{\nu_P \otimes \psi}^2 = \int_{\bar{V}} \|\iota(a_n)((\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0)) - f((\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0))\|_\psi^2 d\nu_{\bar{V}}((\bar{v}_0, \bar{u}_0)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $\iota(a_n) \rightarrow f$ strongly in $L^\infty(\bar{V}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$. This implies that $f \in \iota(\mathcal{M})$, and since ι is an embedding we get a unique $a_\infty = \iota^{-1}(f) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $a_n \rightarrow a_\infty$. □