Heat Capacity and Anisotropic Thermal Conductivity in Cr$_2$AlC Single Crystals at High Temperature


ABSTRACT: The temperature dependences of both heat capacity and thermal conductivity in nanolamellar Cr$_2$AlC single crystals are measured using modulated photothermal radiometry and compared to first-principles calculations. The electronic contribution to the thermal conductivity of Cr$_2$AlC single crystals is computed ab initio by determining the electronic transport coefficients using density functional theory and by solving the Bloch−Boltzmann transport equation with a temperature-dependent relaxation time. The lattice thermal conductivity is predicted by going beyond the quasi-harmonic approximation and considering renormalized second- and third-order force constant matrices, with anharmonic three-phonon scattering, isotopic scattering, and scattering by carbon vacancies. Isotopic scattering does not modify the lattice thermal conductivity. In contrast, even a small concentration of carbon vacancies induces a substantial decrease of the in-plane lattice thermal conductivity. The anisotropy measured in the thermal conductivity, with a ratio of $\sim 2$ over the whole temperature range, is confirmed theoretically. This anisotropy seems to mainly arise from lattice contributions. A similar anisotropy is expected for other MAX phases with identical layered structures.

1. INTRODUCTION

MAX phases are layered, hexagonal, early-transition metal carbides and nitrides with the formula M$_{n+1}$AX$_n$, where M represents an early transition metal, A an element from groups 13 to 16, X either carbon or nitrogen, and $n$ varies from 1 to 3. There are currently more than 150 MAX phases known,$^{1,2}$ including both quaternary in- and out-of-plane ordered phases,$^{3−5}$ as well as the recently discovered rare-earth-containing MAX phases.$^{6−8}$ In contrast to graphite-like layered materials, MAX phases combine strong intralayer covalent/metallic MX bonds and weaker interlayer metallic MA bonds. In most cases, atomic masses are very different—C atoms are light in comparison with the M and A atoms—leading to a wide range of optical phonon energies.$^9$ By now, it is well established that at least a subset of MAX phases combine some of the best properties of metals and ceramics,$^{7,9,10}$ including high electrical and thermal conductivities, chemical, oxidation, and thermal shock resistances, as well as reversible deformation$^{11}$ and bulk ripplocations.$^{12−16}$ The growing interest of the scientific community results both from their own intrinsic physical properties and from the possibility to exfoliate them to form a new family of two-dimensional materials, called MXenes.$^{17}$ Taken together, these characteristics give MAX phases their anisotropic properties. Pure MAX phases were synthesized for the first time in 1996,$^{18}$ but the lack of large single crystals has long prohibited a direct assessment of the anisotropy of the physical properties expected from their crystal structure (see Figure 1). As a consequence, most of the studies on the electrical and thermal transport in MAX phases were performed on polycrystals.$^{19,20}$ Since 2011, macroscopic single crystals have been available,$^{21−24}$ and their magneto-transport properties have been investigated$^{25,26}$ as well as their electronic structure$^{27}$ and elastic properties.$^{16}$

Recently, the phonon dispersion branches of Cr$_2$AlC MAX phase single crystals have been obtained experimentally with...
neutron inelastic scattering and computed \textit{ab initio} using the density functional perturbation theory (DFPT).\textsuperscript{28} The anisotropy of the phonon branches has been predicted with a very satisfactory accuracy when compared to experiment, thus allowing for further investigation of the anisotropy of the thermal conductivity in these MAX single crystals.

In this work, the heat capacity and the anisotropic thermal conductivity of Cr\textsubscript{2}AlC single crystals are measured from room temperature (RT) up to 600 °C. The experimental techniques are described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 and the results are discussed in Section 3.1. The measurements are compared to \textit{ab initio} calculations and to fundamental analytic models, including the Wiedemann–Franz law and the Callaway and Klemens models. The electronic and lattice contributions to the thermal conductivity are computed using the BoltzTraP2 code\textsuperscript{29,30} and the temperature-dependent effective potential (TDEP) code\textsuperscript{31–33} respectively. Computational details can be found in Section 2.3. The theoretical predictions are presented in Section 3.2, and all results are discussed in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. METHODS

2.1. Crystal Growth. Single crystalline platelets of Cr\textsubscript{2}AlC are grown from a liquid solution of composition $x_{Cr} = 0.36$, $x_{Al} = 0.57$, and $x_{C} = 0.07$. After a temperature plateau at $T_{max} = 1650$ °C for 2 h to ensure carbon dissolution, crystal growth is achieved by slowly cooling the solution from $T_{max}$ down to $T = 1200$ °C during time periods ranging from 5 to 7 days with an Ar pressure $p_{Ar} = 1.5$ bar. Slow cooling aims at limiting unwanted spontaneous nucleation by putting to good use Ostwald ripening. The growth rate is much slower along the c-axis, resulting in a morphology with a low aspect ratio. The largest platelet areas are around 6–10 cm$^2$ with thicknesses limited to 2 mm. Single crystals are cleaved and do not include grain boundaries. The crystal quality is checked by X-ray Laue transmission and through neutron diffraction. More details on the sample preparation and on their structure can be found in ref 28.

2.2. Electrical and Thermal Conductivity Measurements. Cr\textsubscript{2}AlC single crystals are first cut with a diamond wire saw to obtain square-shaped samples of about 1–2 cm$^2$. They are then polished to obtain a uniform thickness of about 100 μm.

Four equidistant and parallel Cr/Au contact pads are deposited on the single crystals, as illustrated in Figure 2. The initial Cr layer is 10 nm thick followed by a ∼150 nm thick gold layer. Later, silica sheathed copper wires are attached to the gold pads using graphite glue (Ted Pella). The whole assembly is placed inside a furnace under flowing Ar and the furnace is heated up to 700 °C at 5 °C min$^{-1}$. The copper wire contacts coming out of the furnace are passed through a rubber stopper to protect the inert atmosphere inside. A 100 mA current is passed through the outer wires using a constant current source (Keithley Instruments). The correction factor used to correct the raw resistance data is a function of the sample geometry and is calculated according to ref 34.

The temperature dependence of the heat capacity is obtained using the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) technique in the RT–600 °C temperature range, with a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$.

Both in-plane and out-of-plane thermal conductivities of the Cr\textsubscript{2}AlC samples are measured using the modulated photothermal radiometry (MPTR) within two distinct frequency ranges.\textsuperscript{35} The characterization is performed in the RT–600 °C temperature range under Ar gas flow. The MPTR method consists in subjecting the sample’s surface to a periodic heat flux generated by a modulated laser. The increase in temperature leads to modulated infrared (IR) radiation, which is monitored using a HgCdTe IR detector. The amplitude and the phase are given using a lock-in amplifier. In addition, the in-plane thermal diffusivity at RT is measured using the modulated photothermal radiometry technique (MPTR),\textsuperscript{37} which consists of heating the front face of the sample using a periodic laser pulse and measuring the transient average temperature on the rear face using an IR detector. Similar to the MPTR method, thermal diffusivity is identified by minimizing the quadratic gap between the experimental relative change in the temperature and the one calculated from a model of the heat transfer within the PPRT experimental configuration. The minimization is performed using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm.

2.3. First-Principles Computational Modeling. The structural, electronic, and vibrational properties are computed \textit{ab initio} using the density functional theory (DFT)\textsuperscript{38,39} and density functional perturbation theory (DFPT),\textsuperscript{40–44} respectively, using the \textsc{Abinit} package,\textsuperscript{45–47} which is based on plane-
wave basis sets to represent the Kohn–Sham orbitals and charge density. The exchange–correlation functional is approximated using the generalized gradient approximation as proposed by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof.48 Optimized norm-conserving Vanderbilt pseudopotentials49 are used to describe the core-valence interaction. A plane-wave kinetic energy cutoff of 40 Hartree is determined through a careful convergence investigation. The first Brillouin zone is sampled with an $18 \times 18 \times 6$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point grid, and a Gaussian smearing of 1 mHa is used to accelerate the convergence. Both Fermi surfaces and Fermi velocities are obtained on a dense homogeneous $72 \times 72 \times 72$ $k$-point grid and are visualized using the Fermisurfer code.50 The optimization of the cell parameters leads to an underestimation of the experimental values and thus to an overestimation of the high-frequency phonon modes, which is problematic in the study of transport properties. Following our previous work,28 the lattice parameters are thus kept fixed at the experimental values for Cr$_2$AlC $a = 0.286$ nm and $c = 1.282$ nm, and the atomic positions inside the unit cell are fully optimized until the largest force is smaller than $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ eV Å$^{-1}$.

The thermal conductivity can be decomposed into its electronic and lattice contributions, such that $\kappa = \kappa_e + \kappa_r$. The transport theory for electrons is implemented in the BoltzTraP2 code,29,30 which computes the electronic transport coefficients assuming rigid bands (unchanged either with doping or with temperature) and usually a constant relaxation time $\tau_0$. The estimation of the lattice contribution includes anharmonic processes and, hence, the computation of the third-order force constant matrix. The effective second- and third-order interatomic force constants (IFCs) are obtained at a given temperature from a fit of DFT forces in supercells with thermally displaced atoms (based on initial DFPT harmonic estimates) using the TDEP code.31–33 The lattice thermal conductivity tensor and cumulative thermal conductivity are then obtained from the iterative solution of the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE). External scattering processes are also considered, i.e., vacancy, isotope, and grain boundary scattering processes. The isotopic concentrations are chosen to be as close as possible to the natural ones: carbon of 98.93% $^{12}$C, 1.07% $^{13}$C, aluminum of 100% $^{27}$Al, and chromium of 4.35% $^{50}$Cr, 83.79% $^{52}$Cr, 9.50% $^{53}$Cr, and 2.36% $^{54}$Cr. The determination of the phonon scattering rates due to three-phonon collisions and extrinsic scattering processes using an ab initio approach is described in detail in refs 31–33.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Measurements

3.1.1. Electrical Resistivity. To gain insight into the transport properties of Cr$_2$AlC single crystals, the in-plane electrical resistivity $\rho_\parallel(T)$ is measured experimentally, in the 50–750 °C temperature range, using a four-probe configuration as the one depicted in Figure 2. The result is depicted in Figure 3a. Not surprisingly, a linear temperature
dependence is observed, as is usual in metals, due to the linear increase of the phonon occupation with temperature. This experimental curve will be further used to investigate the thermal transport in Cr$_2$AlC single crystals in Section 3.2.2. A value of ~0.7 $\mu$Ω m is obtained at 50 °C, which is about 10 times higher than the one previously measured by Ouisse et al. This might be due to the configuration used to measure the resistivity, where the contacts are all deposited on the top surface. On the other hand, it appears that the curve is close to previous reports for Cr$_2$AlC polycrystals with electrical resistivities ranging from 0.6 to 0.74 $\mu$Ωm at 300 K.\textsuperscript{30,31,52} It can be noted here that the electrical resistivity of Cr$_2$AlC is almost twice higher than the one of other M$_2$AlC systems.\textsuperscript{9} For example, the electrical resistivities of Ti$_2$AlC, V$_2$AlC,\textsuperscript{20} and Nb$_2$AlC\textsuperscript{20} are 0.36, 0.25, and 0.29 $\mu$Ωm, respectively, at 300 K.

3.1.2. Heat Capacity and Thermal Conductivity. Both in-plane and out-of-plane thermal conductivities are measured using the MPTR technique with two distinct frequency ranges. At a high (low) frequency, the measured phase is sensitive to the in-plane (out-of-plane) thermal diffusivity $a_{\|}$ ($a_{\perp}$). Using the measured density $\rho = 5210$ kg m$^{-3}$ and the temperature-dependent heat capacity $C_p(T)$ (see Figure 4a), the temperature-dependent in-plane thermal conductivity $\kappa_{\|}(T)$ and out-of-plane thermal conductivity $\kappa_{\perp}(T)$ are obtained. $\kappa_{\|}(T)$ measured on several Cr$_2$AlC samples using the MPTR are depicted in gray in Figure 4b. A total of seven curves are reported among which four increase with $T$ and three decrease with $T$ at high temperatures.

The deviations between the different gray curves may result from the variable quality of the different samples and the sensitivity of the measurements. They still provide results in the same order of magnitude, with the average thermal conductivity curve depicted in blue in the same figure. The out-of-plane thermal conductivity $\kappa_{\perp}(T)$ of a unique sample is measured and depicted in orange in Figure 4b. Values of $\kappa_{\perp}$ = 41.3 Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{\perp}$ = 21.6 Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ are obtained at RT.

In addition, the in-plane thermal diffusivity at RT is measured using the PFS with the logarithmic parabolas method.\textsuperscript{36} A value of $a_{\|}$ = 11.4 mm$^2$s$^{-1}$ is measured, leading to a $\kappa_{\|}$ = 40.8 Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ at RT. This PFS measurement is useful to validate the MPTR values. The temperature dependence of $\kappa_{\|}$ is also measured using the PPRT technique and the results are reported in Figure 4b. These values confirm rather well those obtained with the MPTR technique, although the slight increase at the highest temperatures is not observed anymore. Given the high standard deviation on $\kappa_{\|}$ using the MPTR technique, we suspect the increase is spurious. Comparisons with values of $\kappa_{\perp}$ in the literature are also reported in Figure 4b. The measurements obtained by Tian et al.\textsuperscript{52} in a more narrow temperature range fit rather well with the present measurements. As a global observation, the in-plane thermal conductivity is found to be almost constant in the whole temperature range, whereas the out-of-plane thermal conductivity slightly decreases with increasing temperature and before saturation above 200 °C. As importantly, an anisotropy ratio of about 2 is found over the whole temperature range.

3.2. Theoretical Simulations. 3.2.1. Heat Capacity. The total heat capacity $C_p$ is composed of several contributions, including the electronic part (from the metallic character of Cr$_2$AlC), the lattice part, and the anharmonic scattering that occurs at a high temperature\textsuperscript{55,56}

$$C_p = C^e_p + C^{ph}_p + C^{an}_p$$

(1)

where

$$C^e_p = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 N(E_F) }{3\hbar^2 N_a}$$

(2)

is the electronic contribution with $N(E_F)$ denoting the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi energy $E_F$. The phonon contribution $C^{ph}_p = C^{ph}(AM) + C^{ph}(OM)$ is accounted with both the acoustic modes (AM) and the optical modes (OM). Assuming all of the atoms are involved equally within the AM, the contribution of AM is conventionally expressed from the phonon DOS $D_p(\omega)$ given, within the Debye approximation, by

$$D_p(\omega) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi\nu^3}$$

(3)

with

$$\nu = 3^{1/3} \left( \frac{1}{v_L^2} + \frac{2}{v_T^2} \right)^{-1/3}$$

(4)

the sound velocity, as

$$C^{ph}_{p}(AM) = \frac{\hbar^2}{k_B^2 T^2} \int_0^{\omega_0} \frac{\omega^2 e^{\hbar\omega/k_BT}}{(e^{\hbar\omega/k_BT} - 1)^2} D_p(\omega) d\omega$$

(5)

$$= 9Nk_B T^3 \Theta_{D}^3 \int_0^{\omega_0} \frac{h^5 \omega^4 e^{h\omega/k_BT}}{k_B^4 T^3(e^{h\omega/k_BT} - 1)^2} d\omega$$

(6)

In this relationship, $h$ is the reduced Planck constant, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, $\Theta_D$ the Debye temperature, and $N = \int_0^{\infty} D_p(\omega) d\omega$. Assuming all of the phonons are involved in the high-temperature range, $N = \frac{4N_s}{\Theta_D}$ where $M = 2M_al + M_l + M_c$ is the total molar mass. Using the Debye approximation, it comes to $N = \frac{V_0 N_s}{3\Theta_D^3}$, where $V_0$ is the volume of the elementary cell. In addition, the Debye temperature $\Theta_D = \frac{h\omega_0}{k_B} = \frac{h(6N_s\nu_0)^{1/3}}{k_B}$ is found to be 675 K, which is the value frequently reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{50} The heat capacity related to optical modes is estimated using the Einstein model as

$$C^{ph}_{p}(OM) = \frac{3Nk_B \Theta_e^3 \Theta_e/k_BT^2}{T^2(e^{\Theta_e/k_BT} - 1)^2}$$

(7)

where $\Theta_e = \frac{h\omega_0}{\Theta_D}$ is the Einstein temperature. Finally, at a high temperature, anharmonic effects can also be taken into account as a contribution to the heat capacity using

$$C^{an}_p = \frac{9Nk_B \alpha_T^3 B V_0 T}{M}$$

(8)

where $B$ is the bulk modulus and $\alpha_T$ the thermal expansion coefficient.

The total heat capacity distributed among its various contributions (electrons, phonons, and anharmonic processes − thermal expansion) and computed using the data reported in Table 1 is presented in Figure 4a by a black line that overlaps the experimental results in blue.

3.2.2. Electronic Thermal Conductivity. 3.2.2.1. Wiedemann–Franz Law. As a first approximation, the electronic
contribution to the thermal conductivity $\kappa_e$ can be quickly estimated using the Wiedemann–Franz law as

$$\kappa_e = \frac{L_0 T}{\rho(T)}$$

In this relationship, $L_0 = 2.45 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}\Omega^{-1}\text{K}^{-2}$ is the Lorentz number and $\rho(T)$ is the temperature-dependent electrical resistivity. The experimental in-plane electrical resistivity $\rho_1(T)$ depicted in Figure 3a is used to compute the in-plane electronic thermal conductivity $\kappa_e$. As expected from the quasi-linear temperature dependence of the resistivity, an almost temperature-independent electronic thermal conductivity is observed in Figure 3a.

3.2.2.2. Boltzmann Transport Equation. From first principles, the electronic contribution to the thermal conductivity $\kappa_e$ can be obtained by solving the BTE for electrons. The BTE describes the statistical behavior of an out-of-equilibrium system in terms of various scattering processes considering their specific scattering rates. The transport distribution function is defined as

$$\sigma_e(T, e) = \int b \sum b k \nu_{b,k} \tau_{b,k} \delta(e - e_{b,k}) \frac{dk}{8\pi^3}$$

(10)

where $b$ is the band index, $k$ the conserved wavevector, $\nu_{b,k}$ the carrier velocities, $\tau_{b,k}$ the relaxation time, and $e_{b,k}$ the band energies. $\sigma_e(T, e)$ can be used to compute the moments of the generalized transport coefficients

$$L^2 = q^2 \int \sigma(e, T)(e - \mu)^2 \left(-\frac{\partial f_e(T, e)}{\partial e}\right) de$$

(11)

with $f_e$ the Fermi distribution and $\mu$ the chemical potential, that, in turn, are used to access the electronic transport coefficients of interest, namely the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ and the electronic thermal conductivity $\kappa_e$ as

$$\sigma = L^2$$

(12)

$$\kappa_e = \frac{1}{q^2 T} \left(\frac{L^2}{L_0^2} - L^2\right)$$

(13)

The integration of the BTE requires an accurate description of the electronic band structure. BoltzTraP2 code provides such a description using an interpolation method based on a Fourier expansion that takes as input the electronic energies for different k-points, previously calculated by a DFT code (see Figure 6a). The code then computes the Fermi integrals for different temperatures and Fermi levels, and returns as output all of the transport coefficients. In this work, calculations are performed at zero doping.

The relaxation time $\tau_{b,k}$ typically depends on the temperature $T$ and on the charge carrier energy $e$. All scattering events that can influence electron conduction, such as impurity scattering, phonon scattering, etc., are summed in this work according to the Matthiesen law. In many cases, as a first approximation, the relaxation time is often assumed to be constant. Within the constant relaxation time approximation (CRTA), quantities such as $\sigma_0 = \sigma_T$ are determined by a DFT code (see Figure 6a), where charge carriers are located at various places (various bands $b$ and wave vectors $k$) and the electronic relaxation time thus varies significantly on the Fermi surface. In these particular cases, the CRTA has shown limitations and more advanced computational theories or models must be considered.

To obtain a good description of the electronic properties of metallic systems, both the temperature and energy dependences $\tau_{b,k}$ must be considered in eq 10. The temperature- and energy dependence is dictated by the scattering mechanisms. The most important mechanisms of electron scattering in metals are (i) defects and charged impurity scattering, dominant at low temperatures (<100 K) and (ii) electron–phonon scattering, dominant at high temperatures. An analytical model expression for $\tau (T, e)$, developed on the basis of known semiclassical theories, can be formulated as

$$\tau(T, e) = \tau_{ref} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right)^{\gamma\lambda^{1/2}}$$

(14)

where $\tau_{ref}$ is a suitable reference value of $\tau$ at a temperature $T_{ref}$ and $T_{ref}$ is the bottom of the conduction band. At low temperatures, $\gamma$ and $\lambda$ exponents in eq 14 are, respectively, 0 and 2, reflecting the effect of defects and impurities. At high
temperatures, $\gamma$ and $\lambda$ exponents are, respectively, equal to $-1$ and 0 and the linear temperature dependence $T^{-1}$ of the relaxation time reflects the dominance of electron–phonon scattering mechanisms. Given that we are interested in the 0–
700 °C temperature range for which the relaxation time is
governed by electron–phonon scattering processes, the
expression of the relaxation time is given by

\[ \tau(T, \varepsilon) = \tau_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{T}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(15)

where \( \varepsilon_{\text{ref}} \) is taken as the Fermi energy for a metallic system. The \( T^{-1} \) dependence in the model relaxation time allows recovery of the linear temperature dependence of \( \rho_0(T) \) in Figure 3a. A value of \( \tau_{\text{ref}} = 5 \) fs at \( T_{\text{ref}} = 273 \) K is chosen to fit the experimental in-plane resistivity curve in Figure 3a and is in good agreement with the recently determined electronic relaxation times in Cr2AlC single crystals. Similarly, a value of \( \tau_{\text{ref}} = 0.06 \) fs at \( T_{\text{ref}} = 273 \) K is chosen to match the out-of-plane resistivity value of \( 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \ \Omega \text{m} \) at \( 273 \) K (see Figure 3b). Since no experimental data is available for \( \rho_\perp \) above \( 273 \) K, the only indication used to extract a physical relaxation time is the anisotropy ratio of \( \approx 300 \) observed for the resistivity at \( 273 \) K. The temperature- and energy-dependent relaxation time has been implemented in the BoltzTraP2 code and is used to investigate the electrical and thermal transport in Cr2AlC single crystals.

In Figure 3a, \( \kappa_i^\parallel \) and \( \kappa_i^\perp \) are reported using the temperature- and energy-dependent relaxation time defined in eq 15. A value of \( 15 \ \text{Wm}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \) (0.04 \( \text{Wm}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \)) at RT for the in-plane (out-of-plane) direction is obtained and remains quasi-constant in the whole temperature range.

3.2.3. Lattice Thermal Conductivity. The lattice thermal conductivity \( \kappa_i \) of a perfect harmonic crystal is infinite and not a function of temperature. The lattice thermal resistance is dictated by phonon scattering processes that can be intrinsic, through anharmonic phonon–phonon scattering, or extrinsic, induced by isotopic disorder, point defects, or edge effects. The treatment of these scattering processes is mandatory to obtain a good description and temperature dependence of thermal and thermodynamic properties, especially at high temperatures.

3.2.3.1. Phonon Boltzmann Transport Equation. When a material is at equilibrium at the temperature \( T \), the equilibrium phonon population \( \bar{n}_\nu \) describes the number of phonons for each vibrational mode \( \nu \) found at energy \( \hbar \omega_\nu \). If a gradient of the temperature is applied, the phonon population deviates from its equilibrium condition and reaches an out-of-equilibrium condition.

The first microscopic description of the lattice thermal conductivity was formulated in 1929 by Peierls, and is nowadays known as the phonon BTE. This equation describes how the perturbation due to a gradient of the temperature involves a change in the phonon population due to all possible scattering mechanisms. To accurately predict the thermal transport properties, an accurate description of the equilibrium and perturbed phonon populations is required, as well as of their interactions and lifetimes. In practice, the harmonic quantities, such as phonon frequencies, group velocities, and phonon populations, can be obtained \textit{ab initio} by computing the second-order IFC using DFPT. The challenge thus resides in the determination of the anharmonic quantities, such as phonon scattering rates and linewidths. The total out-of-equilibrium distribution \( n_\nu \) in its linearized form is given by

\[ n_\nu = \bar{n}_\nu (\bar{n}_\nu + 1) F_\nu VT \]  

(16)

where \( F_\nu \) corresponds to the deviation of the phonon population with respect to the equilibrium condition. The computation of \( n_\nu \), which is the key quantity to be evaluated, requires to solve the linearized BTE for phonons

\[ c_\nu V T \frac{\partial \bar{n}_\nu}{\partial T} = \sum_{\nu'} \Omega_{\nu\nu'} n_\nu \]  

(17)

where \( \Omega_{\nu\nu'} \) is a matrix of scattering rates acting on the phonon population. The lattice thermal conductivity can be expressed as

\[ \kappa_i = \frac{\hbar^2}{\Omega k_B^2 T} \sum_\nu n_\nu (\bar{n}_\nu + 1) c_\nu \omega_\nu F_\nu \]  

(18)

where \( \Omega \) is the unit cell volume, \( \omega_\nu \) the phonon frequencies, and \( c_\nu \) the corresponding group velocities. Additional information on the computation of the lattice thermal conductivity can be found in ref 66.

In most cases, phonons are treated individually through the single-mode approximation (SMA). This relies on the assumption that heat current is dissipated every time a phonon undergoes a scattering event, and approximates \( F_\nu \) with \( c_\nu \omega_\nu \tau_\nu \), where \( \tau_\nu \) is the relaxation time of the phonon mode \( \nu \). While the SMA properly describes the depletion of a phonon mode after any scattering process, the repopulation process is usually poorly described due to a loss of memory of the initial phonon distribution (final states are repopulated isothermally). In particular, the SMA has shown some limitations in accurately describing the lattice thermal conductivity in layered compounds and two-dimensional systems.

Recently, Hellman et al. proposed a formalism to obtain the third-order IFCs and to accurately calculate the lattice thermal conductivity from the iterative solution of the phonon BTE. The first step consists in computing the second- and third-order force constant matrices that are both temperature- and volume-dependent. For this purpose, an ensemble of 30 noncorrelated configurations generated from a Gaussian distribution of harmonic input phonons is used to sample the Born–Oppenheimer potential energy surface at a finite temperature. Then, a minimization scheme between the forces model and the \textit{ab initio} computed forces is used, and leads to the direct determination of the force constant matrices. The second step consists in iteratively solving the phonon Boltzmann equation in eq 18, starting from an initial guess \( F_\nu^0 \) to obtain the nonequilibrium distribution.

Figure 5b presents the computed lattice thermal conductivities in-plane \( \kappa_i^\parallel \) and out-of-plane \( \kappa_i^\perp \) for Cr2AlC single crystals, when only anharmonic phonon–phonon scattering processes are considered. The inclusion of isotopic scattering processes does not modify the lattice thermal conductivity, as expected from the small natural isotopic diversity of Cr, Al, and C elements. Grain boundary scattering processes can play an important role in phonon transport as polycrystallinity tends to drastically decrease the lattice thermal conductivity. However, in the present work, there is no reason to include these scattering processes since all measurements are performed on single crystals with a single grain of a few cm². Phonon scattering from point defects can also play an important role in limiting the thermal conductivity. Since both experimental and theoretical studies gave evidence for significant amounts of vacancies in MAX phases, these defects are taken into account in the computation of the lattice thermal conductivity.
A vacancy can be regarded as a perturbation of the crystal corresponding to the removal of the mass of one atom and the force constants of two atoms. Hence, the energy associated with a vacancy can be treated as equivalent to an isotopic defect corresponding to a change of mass $\Delta M = -3M$, where $M$ is the atomic mass of the missing atom, i.e., the C atom in Cr$_2$AlC. Concentrations of C vacancies ranging from 0.1 to 1% are considered. The results are presented in Figure 5d. While $\kappa_{\|}$ remains almost constant over the whole temperature range, $\kappa_{\perp}$ is reduced, especially in the $0–300 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature range by the inclusion of C vacancies. This can be understood from the analysis of the high-energy phonon branches, shown in Figure 7 and detailed in ref 28. In fact, the presence or the absence of C atoms only affects the in-plane phonon propagation and lattice thermal conductivity since, for the high-energy C-related modes, there is no phonon propagation feasible perpendicular to the plane, due to the flatness of the bands.

#### 3.2.3.2. Callaway and Klemens Models

An efficient alternative to the phonon BTE to compute the lattice thermal conductivity is the Debye–Callaway model (detailed in the Supporting Information). Considering the optimized values for the parameters used in the model, both the in-plane and the out-of-plane lattice thermal conductivities are computed and the total thermal conductivities, including the electronic contributions, are presented (dashed lines) in Figure 5e. The agreement for both $\kappa_{\|}$ and $\kappa_{\perp}$ with the experimental curves is quite good.

Using the Klemens model (detailed in the Supporting Information) and optimizing at the same time both the effects of umklapp processes and defects lead to the curves (solid lines) presented in Figure 5e. The agreement with the experimental curves is good and is slightly improved with respect to the Callaway model, especially around RT.

### 4. DISCUSSION

In Figure 4a, the experimental measurements of the heat capacity of Cr$_2$AlC are slightly different from the values reported by Tian et al. However, the theoretical total heat capacity reproduces the experimental data with very good accuracy, inducing a high confidence in the measurement techniques used. In addition, such agreement allows us to state that the AM contribution is clearly the dominant one, while the electronic, anharmonic, and OM contributions are similar in magnitude and remain low compared to the AM contribution.

A linear temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity is observed in Figure 3a, as is usual in metals, due to the linear increase of the phonon occupation with temperature. Not surprisingly, the Wiedemann–Franz law gives a good approximation of the electronic thermal conductivity, and leads to results similar to those obtained with the BoltzTraP2 code using a temperature-dependent relaxation time (see Figure 5a). The large anisotropy observed in the electrical resistivities, with an out-of-plane resistivity about 300 times higher than the in-plane one, leads to a negligible electronic contribution to the out-of-plane thermal conductivity. This anisotropy in the electronic transport can also be noticed from the electronic band structure and Fermi surface, as shown in Figure 6. The open tubular structure of the Fermi surface depicted in Figure 6b,c suggests a quasi two-dimensional character of the Cr$_2$AlC system, in agreement with the results from Ito et al. Moreover, from eq 10, it is clear that carrier velocities, which are linked to the band dispersion, play an important role in the electronic transport. As a consequence, in Figure 6a, the dispersive bands along directions parallel to the plane (i.e., $\Gamma$–$A$, $\Gamma$–$M$, $L$–$H$) will lead to high carrier velocities and good electronic conductivity in the plane, while the almost flat bands along directions perpendicular to the plane (i.e., $\Gamma$–$A$, $\Gamma$–$M$, $L$–$H$) explain the weak out-of-plane conductivity. This is confirmed by the evaluation of the carrier velocities at the Fermi surface (see Figure 6b,c) for which the in-plane component is higher than the out-of-plane one (not shown).

The results for the lattice thermal conductivity computed with the TDEP code, considering anharmonic phonon–phonon scattering processes, are shown in Figure 5b. Both in-plane and out-of-plane $\kappa_{\parallel}$ decrease with the temperature, which is a signature of umklapp-dominated scattering processes. Although Cr$_2$AlC has relatively isotropic sound velocities (see Table 1), it has a significantly anisotropic lattice thermal conductivity. This can be explained by the cumulative $\kappa_{\parallel}$ depicted in Figure 5c, where the anisotropy clearly starts at energies greater than 20 meV, mostly corresponding to optical modes. The low-lying optical branches—with the energy below 40 meV—in the phonon spectrum of Cr$_2$AlC (see Figure 7) are very dispersive, with higher group velocities in the $ab$-plane (along $\Gamma$–$K$ and $\Gamma$–$M$) than along the $c$-axis (along $\Gamma$–$A$). Consequently, the optical modes significantly contribute to $\kappa_{\parallel}$, particularly for the in-plane direction as shown in the inset of Figure 5b. This decomposition partly explains the intrinsic anisotropy present in the MAX phases, in general, and in Cr$_2$AlC, in particular. A good qualitative and quantitative agreement with the experimental thermal conductivity can be reached by considering both Callaway and Klemens models for the computation of $\kappa_{\parallel}$ with optimized parameters, as highlighted in Figure 5e.

The computed total thermal conductivities are presented in Figure 5f and both slightly decrease with the temperature. An anisotropy ratio slightly higher than 2 is predicted over the whole temperature range. A comparison with the experimental data is also provided in Figure 5f. A qualitative agreement is found between the experimental and computed thermal conductivity curves, with an overestimation of the experimental $\kappa_{\parallel}$ by 65% and an underestimation of the experimental $\kappa_{\perp}$ by 4% at 50 °C. The overestimation of $\kappa_{\parallel}$ can be due to
various electron/phonon scattering sources and intrinsic structural defects present in the samples and not considered in the calculations. For example, it is shown in Figure 5d that the inclusion of C vacancies in our model reduces $\kappa_{\parallel}$ in the 0–300 °C temperature range, while keeping $\kappa_{\perp}$ constant. Consequently, the deviation between the calculated and experimental in-plane thermal conductivities at 50 °C goes down to 5% and 19% considering scattering processes with C vacancies, with concentrations of 0.1 and 1%, respectively. This confirms that the overestimation of the in-plane thermal conductivity can be explained by the missing scattering processes in the calculations, which can play an important role especially around the RT.

Interestingly, while the calculations predict a decreasing behavior for the thermal conductivity with the temperature— as intuitively deduced from the decrease of the phonon lifetimes with $T$—the experimental curves exhibit two different trends. For the in-plane thermal conductivity, some curves decrease with $T$ while others increase with $T$, leading to an average curve that is almost constant on the whole temperature range. For the out-of-plane thermal conductivity, the curve slightly decreases before saturation above 200 °C. It seems that something, which is not caught by our simulations, maintains the thermal current constant even at a higher temperature. This could be linked to a possible thermal expansion with the increasing $T$ or with a potential annealing of the sample at a higher $T$, reducing the number of defects in it. More work is needed to clarify this situation, which will be the scope of our future research.

In the present work, the main result is the quantitative analysis of the anisotropy in the thermal conductivity of Cr$_2$AlC single crystals confirmed by both experimental and theoretical approaches. This anisotropy arises from both the electronic and lattice contributions, the latter being the dominant term. At RT, the lattice contribution represents $\sim$81% of the total in-plane thermal conductivity and decreases with $T$ to reach 63% at 600 °C. For the out-of-plane thermal conductivity, the electronic contribution is almost zero and the thermal transport is only feasible through lattice vibrations. Interestingly, the anisotropy ratio of 300 observed in the electronic transport is now reduced down to $\sim$2 for the thermal transport, as a consequence of the lattice vibrations, which play an important role along both in-plane and out-of-plane directions.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the heat capacity of Cr$_2$AlC single crystals is measured and accurately reproduced using simple analytic models. The contribution from acoustic phonon modes is clearly the dominant one, while the electronic, anharmonic, and optical modes’ contributions represent together at most 25% of the heat capacity.

The in-plane and out-of-plane thermal conductivities of Cr$_2$AlC single crystals are measured using various experimental techniques and compared to ab initio calculations. An accurate microscopic description of heat transport is achieved and the electronic contribution to thermal conductivity is determined using a temperature-dependent electronic relaxation time. Solving iteratively the BTE for phonons, the lattice thermal conductivity is estimated. Additionally, Callaway and Klemens models provide a qualitative and quantitative description of the lattice thermal conductivity. Both in-plane and out-of-plane thermal conductivities computed ab initio are in good agreement with the present experiments and with previously reported experimental data. For the out-of-plane thermal conductivity, the quantitative agreement is also correct in the entire temperature range. Regarding the in-plane thermal conductivity, the computed data slightly overestimate the experimental ones below 400 °C, whereas they underestimate them at higher temperatures. The inclusion of scattering processes by C vacancies improves the prediction of $\kappa_{\parallel}$, especially between RT and 300 °C, and leads to a good quantitative agreement with the experimental curve. The remaining deviation between calculated and experimental thermal conductivities can possibly be assigned to additional scattering phenomena, present in real samples, and not considered in this work. Besides, the saturation behavior of the experimental curves at high temperatures is not caught by our simulations. It could be linked to a possible thermal expansion or annealing of the sample with increasing $T$. More investigation is needed to shed light on this interesting point.

As a main conclusion, the anisotropy reported experimentally is accurately reproduced theoretically and seems to mainly arise from the lattice contribution. A similar anisotropy in the transport properties is expected for other MAX phases with identical layered structures. Consequently, we believe that this first-principles approach can be effectively applied to investigate the thermal conductivities of other layered systems. From an applicative aspect, accurately predicting and describing the anisotropic thermal conductivity in layered systems is essential for device engineering, especially for energy dissipation in electronics and high-temperature applications.
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