

FORWARD/BACKWARD DECOMPOSITION OF PERIODIC DESCRIPTOR SYSTEMS

J. Sreedhar*

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
IL 61801-2307, USA.
Tel number: 1-217-333-7541

Paul Van Dooren†

Department of Mathematical Engineering,
Université Catholique de Louvain,
1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
Tel number: 32-10-47-8040

Keywords : Linear systems, Numerical methods, Discrete time condition

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_0 & & & \\ & E_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & E_{K-1} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} & & & A_0 \\ & A_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_{K-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Abstract

We propose a Forward/Backward (F/B) decomposition of periodic discrete time descriptor systems and describe a numerically reliable method to compute it. We mention a couple of applications of this F/B canonical form; namely, the solution of two-point boundary value problems, and discrete time Floquet theory.

is regular.

Recently [1] we have shown that statement (2) is equivalent to *solvability* or *conditionability* of Σ , an assumption commonly made in connection with the Two-point Boundary Value Problem (TPBVP)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -A(0) & E(0) & & & \\ & -A(1) & E(1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & -A(N-1) & E(N-1) \\ \cdots \cdots \cdots & & & & \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ W(0) & & & & W(N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ x(1) \\ \vdots \\ x(N-1) \\ x(N) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ w \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

1 Introduction

We consider the periodically time varying (PTV) system

$$\Sigma : E(k)x(k+1) = A(k)x(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{Z}^+ is the set of non-negative integers, $x(k)$ is an n -dimensional vector of descriptor variables, and K is the smallest positive integer for which $E(k) = E(k+K)$, $A(k) = A(k+K)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We assume that the matrices $E(k)$ and $A(k)$ are real, $n \times n$, and satisfy the

Here N simply specifies a time interval of interest. For a discussion of the general time-varying TPBVP, see Luenberger [2, 3]. When studying equation (1), it is common to write

$$V(k)E(k)W(k+1)x(k+1) = V(k)A(k)W(k)x(k), \quad (4)$$

where $V(k) = V(k+K)$, $W(k) = W(k+K)$ are nonsingular for all k , and try to achieve as much simplification

*Was visiting Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium, when this research was initiated. Research partially supported by NSF, grant CCR-9619596, and by UCL Research Board Contract FDS 729040. Corresponding author: Fax +32-10-47-2180.

†This paper presents research results of the Belgian Programme on Interuniversity Poles of Attraction, initiated by the Belgian State, Prime Minister's Office for Science, Technology and Culture. The scientific responsibility rests with its authors.

in form as possible for $E(k), A(k)$. For instance, in the time-invariant (TI) case, where none of the above matrices depend on k , one could reduce E, A to Schur form [4] or decompose the system into “forward” and “backward” subsystems [5]. In this note, for the periodic case, we take the latter approach and demonstrate that it is possible to obtain a canonical forward/backward (F/B) form in (4):

$$E(k) \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & E_b(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(k) \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} A_f(k) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

where the subscripts ‘f’ and ‘b’ refer to forward and backward components. Such a F/B form appears to be quite useful in the general study of periodic systems, e.g., in realization [6]. As an immediate application, we demonstrate that the decomposition into F/B form greatly simplifies the *boundary recursion* formulae of Luenberger [3] and also helps to extend an earlier result in discrete time Floquet theory [7] to descriptor systems.

2 A spectral decomposition theorem and the F/B form

We begin by introducing some notation. For Σ , it is well-known that the spectrum, i.e., the set of eigenvalues counting multiplicities, of the periodic pencil $\lambda E(k) - A(k)$ plays an important role; we shall denote it by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E, A])$. The special situations where either $E(k) = I_n$ or $A(k) = I_n$ afford some simplification and we mention these separately. When $E(k) = I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have the *forward transition matrix*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_A(k, k_0) &= \\ &\begin{cases} A(k-1)A(k-2) \dots A(k_0+1)A(k_0) & \text{if } k > k_0 \geq 0, \\ I & \text{if } k = k_0 \geq 0, \end{cases} \\ \phi_A(k, k_0) &\text{ undefined for } k < k_0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and the characteristic multipliers $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_f([A]) := \boldsymbol{\lambda}([I, A])$, which are nothing but the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix $\phi_A(k_0 + K, k_0)$. Similarly, when $A(k) = I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have the *backward transition matrix*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_E(k_0, k) &= \\ &\begin{cases} E(k_0)E(k_0+1) \dots E(k-1)E(k) & \text{if } k > k_0 \geq 0, \\ I & \text{if } k = k_0 \geq 0, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_E(k_0, k) &\text{ undefined for } k < k_0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and the characteristic multipliers $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_b([E]) := \boldsymbol{\lambda}([E, I])$, which are nothing but the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix $\varphi_E(k_0, k_0 + K)$.

We now state a spectral decomposition theorem, which extends a well known result for time invariant pencils to the periodic case.

Theorem 1. *Given $E(k), A(k)$ satisfying (1), (2), and an arbitrary disjoint partition Λ_1, Λ_2 of the spectrum $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E, A])$, i.e., $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \boldsymbol{\lambda}([E, A])$, $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2 = \boldsymbol{\lambda}([E, A])$, $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$, it is always possible to find K -periodic non-singular matrices $V(k)$ and $W(k)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V(k) E(k) W(k+1) &= \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & E_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \\ V(k) A(k) W(k) &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where all matrices on the right are upper-triangular, and

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{11}, A_{11}]) = \Lambda_1, \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{22}, A_{22}]) = \Lambda_2.$$

Sketch of proof. Step 1. Put $E(k)$ and $A(k)$ in upper-triangular form using the periodic Schur algorithm [8], which always exists (and defines the spectrum unambiguously when (2) is satisfied). Arrange, by reordering diagonal elements if necessary, that

$$E(k) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(k) & E_{12}(k) \\ 0 & E_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(k) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(k) & A_{12}(k) \\ 0 & A_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{11}, A_{11}]) = \Lambda_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{22}, A_{22}]) = \Lambda_2$. This step uses unitary periodic transformations only.

Step 2. Since $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{11}, A_{11}]) \cap \boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{22}, A_{22}]) = \emptyset$, zero each off-diagonal block using a further (nonunitary) periodic transformation. This involves solving the periodic Sylvester equation

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} I & L(k) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(k) & E_{12}(k) \\ 0 & E_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & R(k+1) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & E_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} I & L(k) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(k) & A_{12}(k) \\ 0 & A_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & R(k) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(k) & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}(k) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The left and right transformations from Steps 1 and 2 can be combined to get $V(k)$ and $W(k)$. \square

Remark 1. By choosing Λ_1, Λ_2 appropriately in Theorem 1, it is possible to have $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{11}, A_{11}])$ lie on or inside the unit circle and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}([E_{22}, A_{22}])$ outside the unit circle. Note that $E_{11}(k)$ and $A_{22}(k)$ are then nonsingular. Such a form would be useful, for instance, in finding a minimal order TI description of Σ using strict system equivalence [9] operations on its stacked [10] representation. This work is currently under progress [11]. See [12] for details of this procedure for standard state space systems, viz., when $E(k) = I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 would be useful when one considers the *additive decomposition* problem for periodic discrete-time systems. See [13] for details in the time-invariant case.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 shows the possibility of diagonalizing $E(k)$ and $A(k)$ when the eigenvalues $\lambda([E, A])$ are distinct.

Theorem 1 quickly leads to the promised F/B form, as shown next.

Corollary 1. *Given $E(k)$ and $A(k)$ satisfying (1), (2), it is always possible to find K -periodic nonsingular matrices $V(k)$ and $W(k)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V(k) E(k) W(k+1) &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & E_b(k) \end{bmatrix}, \\ V(k) A(k) W(k) &= \begin{bmatrix} A_f(k) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $E_b(k)$ and $A_f(k)$ are upper-triangular, $\lambda_f([A_f])$ lies on or inside the unit circle, and $\lambda_b([E_b])$ lies inside the unit circle.

Sketch of proof. Use Theorem 1 (in particular, see Remark 1). Premultiply with $\text{diag}(E_{11}^{-1}(k), A_{22}^{-1}(k))$ to get the final result in (7), with

$$A_f(k) = E_{11}^{-1}(k)A_{11}(k), \quad E_b(k) = A_{22}^{-1}(k)E_{22}(k). \quad \square$$

We conclude this note by mentioning two uses of the F/B canonical form, namely, periodic TPBVPs and discrete time Floquet theory.

3 Two-point boundary value problems

Clearly, Corollary 1 decomposes the original system Σ into a forward and a backward part:

$$x_f(k+1) = A_f(k)x_f(k), \quad A_f(k+K) = A_f(k), \quad (8f)$$

$$E_b(k)x_b(k+1) = x_b(k), \quad E_b(k+K) = E_b(k), \quad (8b)$$

Given $x_f(0)$, we can iterate (8f) forward in time to obtain $x_f(k)$ for all $k \geq 0$, and, similarly, given $x_b(N)$, we can iterate (8b) backwards in time to obtain $x_b(k)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq N$. The solution will, of course, involve the forward and backward transition matrices introduced in (5) and (6).

Alternatively, if the boundary conditions are specified as in (3), then the F/B decomposition yields very simple expressions for Luenberger's *boundary recursion* procedure [3] applied to Σ , as we show next. The idea behind the procedure is to express the inherent linear restrictions on $x(0)$ and $x(N)$ in the form of a *boundary mapping* equation $Z_0(0, N)x(0) + Z_N(0, N)x(N) = 0$. Likewise, one could write

$$Z_0(0, k)x(0) + Z_k(0, k)x(k) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

where $Z_0(0, k)$ and $Z_k(0, k)$ are some appropriate $n \times n$ matrices, to make explicit the linear restrictions on $x(0)$ and $x(k)$ that are imposed implicitly by (1). The basic step is boundary recursion, wherein $Z_0(0, k)$ and $Z_k(0, k)$ are found recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} F(k) & G(k) \\ H(k) & J(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_0(0, k) & Z_k(0, k) & 0 \\ 0 & -A(k) & E(k) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} Z_0(0, k+1) & 0 & Z_{k+1}(0, k+1) \\ X(k) & I & Y(k) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see that $F(k) = A(k), G(k) = Z_k(0, k)$ will produce the desired zero block on the right hand side of (10). Furthermore, when $E(k)$ and $A(k)$ are in the F/B form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_f(k) & I \\ \hline I & \varphi_{E_b}(0, k) \\ \hline I & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -I \end{array} \right] \\ = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} -\phi_{A_f}(k, 0) & I & \\ \hline -I & \varphi_{E_b}(0, k) & \\ \hline -A_f(k) & -I & I \\ \hline & & E_b(k) \end{array} \right] \\ = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} -\phi_{A_f}(k+1, 0) & I & \\ \hline -I & \varphi_{E_b}(0, k+1) & \\ \hline -\phi_{A_f}(k, 0) & I & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I & -E_b(k) \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Comparing (10) with (11), we get all the necessary formulae for boundary recursion of periodic systems. Finally, the boundary conditions $W(0)x(0) + W(N)x(N) = w$, with $W(0) = [W_{0f}, W_{0b}]$, $W(N) = [W_{Nf}, W_{Nb}]$ partitioned according to (8), are independent of the boundary mapping of Σ if

$$[W_{0f} + \phi_{A_f}(N, 0)W_{Nf}, W_{Nb} + \varphi_{E_b}(0, N)W_{0b}] \quad (12)$$

is nonsingular. Equations (11) and (12) are analogous to the TI case discussed in [3].

4 Discrete time Floquet theory

Since time invariant linear system theory is much more developed than its periodic counterpart, it is natural to wonder under what conditions can the PTV system Σ be converted into a TI system by a nonsingular transformation as shown in equation (4). A transformation of the kind

$$E(k) \leftarrow Z(k) E(k) Q(k+1), \quad A(k) \leftarrow Z(k) A(k) Q(k),$$

where the matrices $Q(k), Z(k)$ are nonsingular for all k , is known as a *kinematic similarity*, and a *K-periodic similarity* when $Q(k), Z(k)$ are, in addition, periodic of period K .

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a K -periodic pair $\{A(k), E(k)\}$ to be K -periodically similar to a constant pair $\{E, A\}$.

We need a couple of lemmas first. Lemma 1 states when a forward PTV system

$$x(k+1) = A_f(k)x(k), \quad A_f(k+K) = A_f(k),$$

can be transformed into a TI system

$$\hat{x}(k+1) = A_f \hat{x}(k)$$

under an invertible, K -periodic, change of variable $\hat{x}(k) := T_f^{-1}(k)x(k)$. See also [7], [14].

Lemma 1. *Given K -periodic matrices $A_f(k)$, there exist K -periodic invertible matrices $T_f(k)$ satisfying*

$$T_f^{-1}(k+1)A_f(k)T_f(k) = A_f, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (13)$$

if and only if

$$\text{nullity} \begin{bmatrix} A_f(j+i-1) & & & & \\ & I & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & I & A_f(j+1) \\ & & & & & I & A_f(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

is independent of j , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq K$. (14)

Proof. In [7], we proved that (13) is satisfied if and only if

$$\text{nullity} \{A_f(j+i-1) \cdots A_f(j+1)A_f(j)\}$$

is independent of j , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq K$. (15)

But $A_f(j+i-1) \cdots A_f(j+1)A_f(j) = \phi_A(j+i, j)$ has the same nullity as the matrix in (14), because the latter matrix is, modulo right and left elementary operations, nothing but

$$\text{diag} \{I, \dots, I, \phi_A(j+i, j)\}.$$

Therefore, (14) is the same as (4). \square

We state the next lemma without proof; it gives a corresponding result for the backward PTV system

$$E_b(k)x(k+1) = x(k), \quad E_b(k+K) = E_b(k).$$

Lemma 2. *Given K -periodic matrices $E_b(k)$, there exist K -periodic invertible matrices $T_b(k)$ satisfying*

$$T_b^{-1}(k)E_b(k)T_b(k+1) = E_b, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (16)$$

if and only if

$$\text{nullity} \begin{bmatrix} E_b(j+i-1) & I & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & E_b(j+1) & I \\ & & & & & E_b(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

is independent of j , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq K$. (17)

We now state the conditions under which Σ is K -periodically similar to a TI descriptor system. The F/B form helps in deriving this result.

Theorem 2. *Given K -periodic matrices $E(k), A(k)$, there exist K -periodic invertible matrices $Z(k), Q(k)$ satisfying*

$$Z(k)E(k)Q(k+1) = E, \quad Z(k)A(k)Q(k) = A, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (18)$$

if and only if the nullities of

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(j+i-1) & & & & \\ E(j+i-2) & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & A(j+1) & \\ & & & E(j) & A(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} E(j+i-1) & A(j+i-1) & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & E(j+1) & A(j+1) \\ & & & & E(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

(19)

are independent of j , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq K$.

Sketch of proof. Necessity is easy to prove because (18) implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & Z(j+i-1) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & Z(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & E(j+i-1) & A(j+i-1) & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & E(j) & A(j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & Q(j+i) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & Q(j) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & E & A & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & E & A \end{bmatrix}$$

(20)

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. To show sufficiency, we must invoke the F/B form and *perfect shuffle*, followed by Lemmas 1 and 2. We omit the details here due to lack of space. \square

5 Conclusion

In this note, we studied spectral decomposition of periodic discrete time descriptor systems and described a

numerically reliable method to compute it. This led to a Forward/Backward (F/B) form which is useful for both numerical computation and theory—as shown for instance in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. We expect that further applications for these decompositions will be found.

References

- [1] J. Sreedhar and P. Van Dooren, “Periodic descriptor systems: Solvability and conditionability,” presented at MTNS, St. Louis, MO, USA, June 24–28, 1996.
- [2] D. G. Luenberger. Dynamic equations in descriptor form. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Cntrl*, AC-22:pp. 312–321, June 1977.
- [3] D. G. Luenberger. Boundary recursion for descriptor variable systems. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Cntrl*, AC-34(3):pp. 287–292, March 1989.
- [4] G. H. Golub and C. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1983.
- [5] F. L. Lewis, “Descriptor systems: Decomposition into forward and backward subsystems,” *IEEE Trans. Auto. Cntrl*, AC-29:pp. 167–170, Feb 1984.
- [6] V. Estruch, V. Hernández, and E. Sánchez, “Minimal discrete time-varying forward/backward periodic realizations of rational matrices.” preprint, 1996.
- [7] P. Van Dooren and J. Sreedhar, “When is a periodic discrete-time system equivalent to a time-invariant one?,” *Lin. Alg. and it applns*, vol. 212/213, pp. 131–151, Nov 1994. Spl. Issue: Proc. 3rd ILAS Conf., Pensacola, FL, Mar. 17–20, 1993.
- [8] A. Bojanczyk, G. Golub, and P. Van Dooren. The periodic Schur decomposition. Algorithms and Applications. In Franklin T. Luk, editor, *Advanced signal processing algorithms, architectures, and implementations III, Proceedings of SPIE—the International Society for Optical Engineering*, volume 1770, pp. 31–42, 19–21 July, San Diego, CA, 1992. Bellingham, Wash., USA.
- [9] H. H. Rosenbrock. *State Space and Multivariable Theory*. John Wiley, New York, 1970.
- [10] O. Grasselli and S. Longhi, “Finite zero structure of linear periodic discrete-time systems,” *Int. J. Systems Science*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1785–1806, 1991.
- [11] J. Sreedhar, P. Van Dooren and P. Misra, “Minimal order time invariant representation of periodic descriptor systems,” *IEEE Intl. Sympo. Computer-Aided Cntrl Syst. Design*, Dearborn, MI, USA, Sept 15–18, 1996.
- [12] P. Misra, “Time invariant representation of discrete periodic systems,” *Automatica*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 267–272, 1996.
- [13] B. Kågström and P. Van Dooren, “A generalized state-space approach for the additive decomposition of a transfer matrix,” *J. Num. Lin. Alg. with applns*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 165–181, 1992.
- [14] J. Kos, *Time-dependent problems in linear operator theory*. PhD thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1995.